Digital Resistance Training and Its Effects on Muscle Development and Physical Fitness in Competitive Young Adults

Cristian Băltărețu[1], Bogdan Costache[2], Marian Victor Zamfir[3], Adrian Iacobini[4]
[1] National University of Physical Education and Sport (ROMANIA)
[2,3,4] Bucharest University of Economic Studies (ROMANIA)

Abstract:
Resistance training represents a fundamental stimulus for muscular development, neuromuscular adaptation, and athletic performance enhancement in young adult athletes. While traditional resistance training modalities have been extensively investigated, the emergence of digitally controlled resistance training systems introduces new methodological possibilities for load prescription, real-time feedback, and individualized progression. However, scientific evidence regarding the effectiveness of digital resistance training (DRT) remains limited. The aim of this paper is to investigate the efficacy of digital resistance training interventions on muscle hypertrophy and performance-related parameters for competitive young adults, through aggregation of evidence from resistance training studies and assessing its relevance in the context of digital exercise. A narrative-integrative approach was utilized including systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and conceptual models in resistance training adaptations in youth and young adults.
The results emphasize the potential use of digital resistance training as an efficient and novel option for muscle strength development and improvement of physical condition in competitive young adults, but underline also a necessity of further controlled experimental research to prove its superiority or at least equality to a traditional exercise treatments. Please note that always-on scrutiny is an organisational construct and a form of distributed leadership; the authority is dispersed across coaching not just with staff in the same location, but also on other parts of the continent or globe via remote-access consulting, analysis and executive teams in other buildings. This logic of governance is pragmatic with respect to uncertainty – a recognition of the incomplete nature of information guided by collective knowledge and error correcting iterated learning rather than centralised command. Although this research does not make direct reference to AI ethics, it offers empirically grounded observations about how organizations maintain excellence and resilience in the face of continuous scrutiny and hazard.

Keywords: digital resistance training, muscle hypertrophy, physical fitness, young adults, strength training

1. Introduction

Resistance training has become an essential component of athletic preparation, health promotion, and injury prevention across different age groups. Over the last three decades, extensive research has demonstrated that properly designed resistance training programs are safe, effective, and beneficial for children, adolescents, and young adults, including competitive athletes (Faigenbaum, 2009; Payne et al., 1997).

Early misconceptions regarding the potential harmful effects of resistance training - particularly concerns related to skeletal growth and injury risk - have been consistently disproven by longitudinal studies and systematic reviews (Sun et al.,, 2025). Contemporary evidence indicates that resistance training-related injuries occur less frequently than injuries sustained during many common youth sports, provided that appropriate supervision and progression principles are respected (Faigenbaum, 2015; Granacher et al., 2016).

From a performance perspective, resistance training elicits significant improvements in muscular strength, muscle power, speed, agility, and sport-specific performance. Meta-analytical data indicate moderate to large effect sizes for strength development and small to moderate effects for athletic performance parameters such as sprinting and jumping (Lesinski et al., 2016; Vesci et al., 2017). Importantly, these adaptations are not solely attributable to growth or maturation but result from structured mechanical loading stimuli.

Physiologically, resistance training promotes neuromuscular adaptations including enhanced motor unit recruitment, increased firing frequency, improved intermuscular coordination, and hypertrophic responses at the muscle fiber level (Rong etal., 2025; Gamage et al., 2025). These adaptations contribute to improved force production capacity and movement efficiency, reinforcing the role of resistance training as a foundational stimulus for athletic development (Granacher et al., 2016); Stefanica et al., 2024.

In parallel with advances in resistance training science, training technologies have evolved substantially. Traditional resistance training methods, based on free weights, selectorized machines, and bodyweight exercises, are increasingly complemented by digitally mediated resistance training systems. These systems utilize sensors, software algorithms, and real-time data processing to dynamically regulate resistance, monitor movement velocity, and adjust training loads instantaneously.

Digital resistance training (DRT) represents a conceptual shift from static load prescription toward adaptive, feedback-driven training environments (Kompf et al., 2024). Unlike traditional systems, digital platforms can modify resistance throughout the range of motion, accommodate fatigue-induced performance fluctuations, and maintain target intensity zones during each repetition. From a theoretical standpoint, this aligns closely with established principles of resistance training, including progressive overload, specificity, and individualization (Lesinski et al., 2016).

Despite the growing implementation of digital resistance technologies in high-performance sport settings, empirical research examining their physiological effectiveness remains limited. Most evidence supporting resistance training adaptations originates from studies employing traditional analog modalities. Consequently, it remains unclear whether digital resistance training elicits comparable, superior, or distinct adaptations in muscle hypertrophy and physical fitness.

This knowledge gap is particularly relevant for competitive young adults, a population characterized by high training volumes, performance demands, and sensitivity to training optimization. Understanding the effectiveness of digital resistance training within this demographic is essential for evidence-based integration of new technologies into strength and conditioning practice (Bejinariu et al., 2025).

Therefore, the aim of this article is to evaluate the effectiveness of digital resistance training interventions on muscle development and physical fitness metrics in competitive young adults, by synthesizing current resistance training literature and discussing its implications for digitally mediated training environments.

2. Methodology

2.1 Study Design

The present study employed a narrative-integrative review design, aimed at synthesizing current scientific evidence regarding the effects of resistance training on muscle development and physical fitness in youth and young adult athletes, with specific emphasis on the implications for digital resistance training (DRT) systems. This methodological approach was selected due to the limited availability of direct experimental studies comparing digital and traditional resistance training modalities.

Narrative-integrative reviews are particularly suitable for emerging research domains, where empirical data are still developing and conceptual integration is required to bridge established knowledge with novel technological applications. In this context, the review integrates findings from systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and conceptual models to infer the potential effectiveness of digitally mediated resistance training interventions.

2.2 Literature Search Strategy

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using major scientific databases, including PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus. The search strategy focused on peer-reviewed articles published in English and employed combinations of the following keywords:

- *resistance training*
- *strength training*
- *youth athletes*
- *young adults*
- *muscle hypertrophy*
- *physical fitness*
- *neuromuscular adaptation*
- *training load*
- *digital training*
- *technology-assisted resistance training*

Priority was given to publications authored by researchers with extensive contributions to resistance training science, including Faigenbaum, Granacher, Lesinski, Payne, and Vesci, whose work forms the empirical foundation of current resistance training guidelines.

2.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were included in the review if they met the following criteria:

1. Examined resistance training interventions in children, adolescents, or young adults.
2. Reported outcomes related to muscular strength, muscle hypertrophy, power, or physical fitness.
3. Utilized systematic review, meta-analysis, umbrella review, or high-quality narrative review designs.
4. Provided sufficient methodological detail regarding training variables (intensity, volume, frequency).

Exclusion criteria comprised:

- Studies focusing exclusively on clinical or elderly populations.
- Articles lacking clear methodological descriptions.
- Non-peer-reviewed sources or opinion-based publications.

Although direct studies on digital resistance training were scarce, articles addressing training load modulation, velocity-based training, and dose–response relationships were included due to their conceptual relevance to digital training systems.

2.4 Outcome Measures

The primary outcome measures considered in this review included:

- Muscular strength, assessed through maximal or submaximal force production.
- Muscle hypertrophy, inferred from changes in muscle cross-sectional area, lean mass, or surrogate markers.
- Physical fitness parameters, including power, speed, agility, and functional performance indicators.

Secondary outcomes included neuromuscular adaptations such as motor unit recruitment efficiency, movement velocity, and coordination, as these variables are highly relevant to digitally controlled resistance systems.

2.5 Analytical Framework

An analytical framework grounded in long-term athlete development (LTAD) principles was applied to interpret the findings. This framework recognizes resistance training as a progressive stimulus whose effectiveness depends on training dose, individual readiness, and adaptive capacity (Granacher et al., 2016).

Findings from traditional resistance training research were evaluated in relation to key technological features of digital resistance training systems, including:

- Real-time load adjustment
- Velocity-based resistance modulation
- Continuous performance monitoring
- Individualized progression algorithms

By aligning established physiological adaptation mechanisms with these features, the review sought to infer the potential training effects of digital resistance interventions.

2.6 Methodological Limitations

Given the narrative-integrative nature of the review, causal inferences regarding the superiority of digital resistance training cannot be definitively established. The absence of randomized controlled trials directly comparing digital and traditional resistance training modalities represents a significant limitation.

Nevertheless, the methodological approach allows for a theoretically grounded synthesis of existing evidence and provides a foundation for future experimental research. The integration of high-quality reviews and meta-analyses enhances the internal validity of the conclusions drawn.

2.7 Ethical Considerations

As this study involved secondary analysis of previously published data, no ethical approval was required. All cited studies adhered to ethical standards applicable at the time of their publication.

3. Results

3.1 Effects of Resistance Training on Muscular Strength

The analysis of the selected literature consistently indicates that resistance training induces significant improvements in muscular strength in youth and young adult athletes. Meta-analytical evidence demonstrates moderate to large effect sizes for maximal and submaximal strength gains following structured resistance training interventions (Payne et al., 1997; Lesinski et al., 2016).

Lesinski et al. (2016) reported that training intensity and weekly training frequency are critical determinants of strength development, with higher intensities (≥70% of one-repetition maximum) and training frequencies of two to three sessions per week yielding superior outcomes. These findings are further supported by umbrella review data, which confirm that resistance training elicits robust strength adaptations beyond those attributable to growth and maturation alone (Lesinski et al., 2020).

From a mechanistic perspective, strength gains observed in youth and young adults are primarily mediated by neuromuscular adaptations, including enhanced motor unit recruitment, increased firing frequency, and improved synchronization. These adaptations are particularly pronounced during the early phases of resistance training and form the foundation for subsequent hypertrophic responses (Granacher et al., 2016).

3.2 Effects on Muscle Hypertrophy

Muscle hypertrophy represents a key outcome of resistance training in competitive young adults, particularly in sports where force production and power are performance-limiting factors. The reviewed literature indicates that resistance training produces measurable increases in muscle cross-sectional area and lean body mass, although hypertrophic responses are generally smaller in magnitude than strength gains, especially in younger populations (Faigenbaum, 2009; Vesci et al., 2017).

Systematic reviews suggest that hypertrophic adaptations are influenced by training volume, mechanical tension, and progression over time. Lesinski et al. (2016) emphasized that sufficient training volume and progressive overload are necessary to stimulate muscle protein synthesis and structural remodeling. These principles are directly relevant to digital resistance training systems, which are designed to maintain consistent mechanical loading across repetitions and sets.

Although direct evidence on digital resistance training-induced hypertrophy is limited, the capacity of digital systems to dynamically adjust resistance in response to fatigue may theoretically enhance time under tension and optimize hypertrophic stimuli. However, empirical confirmation of these mechanisms remains an important direction for future research.

3.3 Effects on Physical Fitness and Athletic Performance

Beyond isolated strength and hypertrophy outcomes, resistance training exerts positive effects on multiple components of physical fitness and athletic performance. The reviewed studies consistently demonstrate improvements in muscle power, sprint performance, agility, and jumping ability following resistance training interventions (Vesci et al., 2017; Lesinski et al., 2020).

Granacher et al. (2016) proposed a conceptual model linking neuromuscular adaptations induced by resistance training to enhanced movement efficiency and sport-specific performance. According to this model, increases in maximal strength provide a foundation for improved power production, which subsequently translates into superior athletic performance.

Importantly, the magnitude of performance improvements appears to depend on the specificity of the training stimulus. Resistance training programs incorporating velocity-oriented or power-focused elements tend to produce greater transfer to sport-specific tasks. Digital resistance training systems, which can modulate resistance based on movement velocity, may therefore offer unique advantages in targeting performance-relevant adaptations.

3.4 Dose–Response Relationships and Training Variables

A consistent finding across the reviewed literature is the presence of clear dose–response relationships between resistance training variables and physiological adaptations. Lesinski et al. (2016) demonstrated that training intensity, volume, and frequency interact to determine the magnitude of strength and fitness gains.

Higher training intensities are generally associated with greater strength adaptations, whereas moderate-to-high training volumes appear necessary to elicit hypertrophic responses. Excessive training loads, however, may increase fatigue and compromise adaptation, particularly in young athletes with high overall training demands.

Digital resistance training systems may facilitate optimal dose–response relationships by continuously monitoring performance outputs and adjusting resistance accordingly. This adaptive capability has the potential to reduce inter-individual variability in training responses, although this hypothesis has yet to be empirically tested.

3.5 Injury Risk and Safety Considerations

Safety is a critical consideration in resistance training research, particularly in youth and young adult populations. The reviewed literature provides strong evidence that resistance training is safe when appropriately supervised and programmed (Faigenbaum, 2009; Faigenbaum, 2015).

Injury incidence rates associated with resistance training are low and comparable to, or lower than, those observed in many competitive sports. Furthermore, resistance training has been shown to reduce injury risk by enhancing musculoskeletal resilience, improving movement control, and increasing force absorption capacity (Granacher et al., 2016).

While data on injury risk associated with digital resistance training are currently lacking, the controlled and feedback-driven nature of these systems may offer additional safety benefits by minimizing technical errors and excessive loading.

3.6 Summary of Findings

Overall, the results of the reviewed literature indicate that resistance training is an effective stimulus for improving muscular strength, muscle hypertrophy, and physical fitness in competitive young adults. The established principles governing resistance training adaptations—such as progressive overload, specificity, and appropriate dose management—provide a strong theoretical foundation for the application of digital resistance training systems.

Although direct empirical evidence remains limited, the features of digital resistance training align closely with the determinants of effective resistance training adaptations identified in the literature. These findings support the rationale for further experimental investigation into the efficacy of digitally mediated resistance training interventions.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the effectiveness of digital resistance training interventions on muscle development and physical fitness in competitive young adults, by contextualizing emerging digital training technologies within the established body of resistance training research. The findings synthesized in the Results section indicate that traditional resistance training is a highly effective stimulus for improving muscular strength, hypertrophy, and overall physical fitness. The present discussion interprets these findings in relation to the theoretical and practical implications of digitally mediated resistance training.

4.1 Interpretation of Strength and Hypertrophy Outcomes

The results confirm that resistance training induces significant strength adaptations in young athletes, primarily driven by neuromuscular mechanisms. These adaptations—enhanced motor unit recruitment, firing rate, and intermuscular coordination—are well documented in both narrative and systematic reviews (Faigenbaum, 2009; Granacher et al., 2016; Lesinski et al., 2016). Importantly, these neuromuscular responses are largely independent of training modality, suggesting that the physiological basis for strength gains remains consistent across different resistance training systems.

From this perspective, digital resistance training systems appear compatible with the fundamental principles governing strength development. By maintaining continuous resistance throughout the entire range of motion and dynamically adjusting load based on movement velocity or force output, digital systems may reinforce neuromuscular engagement during each repetition. This feature aligns with the dose–response relationships identified in the literature, where training intensity and movement quality play a central role in strength adaptation (Lesinski et al., 2020).

Regarding muscle hypertrophy, the reviewed evidence suggests that hypertrophic adaptations in young adults are influenced by training volume, mechanical tension, and progression over time (Vesci et al., 2017; Lesinski et al., 2016). While hypertrophy outcomes are generally smaller in magnitude than strength gains, particularly in younger populations, they remain functionally relevant for performance and injury prevention. Digital resistance training may enhance hypertrophic stimuli by sustaining mechanical tension despite fatigue, potentially increasing time under tension—a key determinant of muscle protein synthesis. However, this assumption remains theoretical and requires direct experimental validation.

4.2 Digital Resistance Training in Relation to Dose–Response Principles

A central contribution of this discussion lies in interpreting digital resistance training through the lens of established dose–response principles. Traditional resistance training research emphasizes that optimal adaptations depend on precise manipulation of intensity, volume, and frequency (Payne et al., 1997; Lesinski et al., 2016). Digital resistance training systems introduce a novel dimension by enabling real-time modulation of resistance in response to the athlete's performance.

This adaptive capability may reduce inter-individual variability in training responses, a well-known challenge in resistance training interventions. By adjusting load based on force–velocity outputs, digital systems may ensure that each athlete consistently trains within an optimal stimulus range, potentially enhancing training efficiency. This feature is particularly relevant for competitive young adults who are exposed to high cumulative training loads across multiple performance domains.

Nevertheless, the lack of longitudinal studies directly comparing digital and traditional resistance training limits the strength of these conclusions. While theoretical alignment with dose–response principles is evident, empirical evidence remains insufficient to confirm superior effectiveness.

4.3 Implications for Athletic Performance

The literature consistently demonstrates that resistance training improves athletic performance parameters such as sprint speed, jumping ability, and agility (Granacher et al., 2016; Vesci et al., 2017). These improvements are mediated by increased maximal strength and improved rate of force development. Digital resistance training systems, which can incorporate velocity-based feedback, may enhance the specificity of training stimuli by targeting performance-relevant force–velocity profiles.

From a practical standpoint, this suggests that digital resistance training may be particularly suited for performance-oriented training phases, where precise control of movement velocity and resistance is critical. However, current evidence does not yet support the replacement of traditional resistance training methods, but rather their potential supplementation with digital technologies.

4.4 Safety and Injury Prevention Considerations

Safety considerations are paramount in resistance training research involving young athletes. The reviewed literature provides strong evidence that resistance training is safe when appropriately supervised and programmed (Faigenbaum, 2009; Faigenbaum, 2015). Moreover, resistance training has been shown to reduce injury risk by enhancing musculoskeletal robustness and neuromuscular control (Granacher et al., 2016).

Digital resistance training may offer additional safety advantages by providing real-time feedback, limiting excessive loading, and promoting consistent movement execution. These features could be particularly beneficial for less experienced athletes or during rehabilitation-oriented training phases. However, as with efficacy outcomes, empirical data on injury rates associated with digital resistance training are currently lacking.

4.5 Limitations of the Current Evidence Base

Several limitations must be acknowledged when interpreting the findings of this study. First, the majority of available evidence pertains to traditional resistance training, with limited direct investigation of digital resistance training systems. Second, many studies focus on short- to medium-term interventions, leaving long-term adaptations and sustainability insufficiently explored. Third, heterogeneity in participant characteristics, training protocols, and outcome measures complicates direct comparison across studies.

These limitations underscore the need for randomized controlled trials specifically designed to evaluate digital resistance training interventions, with standardized outcome measures for strength, hypertrophy, and performance.

4.6 Future Research Directions

Future research should prioritize controlled experimental studies comparing digital and traditional resistance training under matched training volumes and intensities. Additionally, investigations should examine the interaction between digital resistance training and personalized nutrition strategies, as combined interventions may yield synergistic effects on muscle hypertrophy and recovery.

Longitudinal studies assessing injury incidence, adherence, and performance transfer in competitive settings are also warranted. Such research would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the role digital resistance training may play in modern athletic preparation.

5. Conclusions

The present study examined the effectiveness of digital resistance training interventions on muscle development and physical fitness in competitive young adults, by integrating evidence from established resistance training literature with emerging digital training paradigms. The findings indicate that resistance training remains a highly effective stimulus for improving muscular strength, hypertrophy, and performance-related fitness parameters in young athletic populations.

The reviewed evidence confirms that strength gains in youth and young adults are predominantly driven by neuromuscular adaptations, while hypertrophic responses depend on appropriate training volume, mechanical tension, and progression over time (Faigenbaum, 2009; Lesinski et al., 2016; Granacher et al., 2016). These physiological principles appear to be modality-independent, suggesting that digital resistance training systems operate within the same fundamental adaptive framework as traditional resistance training.

From a theoretical perspective, digital resistance training aligns closely with key dose–response determinants identified in the literature, including intensity regulation, movement velocity, and fatigue management. The capacity of digital systems to dynamically adjust resistance based on real-time performance metrics may enhance training precision and individualization, particularly in competitive young adults exposed to high cumulative training loads.

However, despite these theoretical advantages, the current evidence base remains limited with respect to direct comparisons between digital and traditional resistance training. Most available data are derived from conventional training interventions, and empirical research specifically targeting digital resistance training is still in its early stages. As such, conclusions regarding superiority or equivalence between training modalities should be drawn cautiously.

In terms of safety, existing literature consistently supports the use of resistance training in youth and young adult populations when appropriately supervised and programmed (Faigenbaum, 2015). The controlled and feedback-driven nature of digital resistance training may further contribute to safe training environments, although this assumption requires empirical confirmation.

In conclusion, digital resistance training represents a promising extension of traditional resistance training methodologies rather than a replacement. Its potential value lies in enhanced load control, individualized progression, and performance monitoring. Future research should prioritize well-designed randomized controlled trials that directly compare digital and traditional resistance training, investigate long-term adaptations, and explore synergistic effects with personalized nutrition strategies. Such evidence will be essential to fully elucidate the role of digital resistance training in contemporary athletic development.

References

- Bejinariu, G. C., Petcu, C., & Enachescu, V. A. (2025). Integrating mental health education in undergraduate curricula: a preventive strategy for academic success. In *ICERI2025 Proceedings* (pp. 2750-2759). IATED.
- Chakravarthy, M. V., & Booth, F. W. (2004). Eating, exercise, and “thrifty” genotypes: connecting the dots toward an evolutionary understanding of modern chronic diseases. *Journal of applied physiology*, *96*(1), 3-10., https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00757.2003
- Faigenbaum, A. D., & Myer, G. D. (2010). Resistance training among young athletes: safety, efficacy and injury prevention effects. *British journal of sports medicine*, *44*(1), 56-63. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2008.055459
- Faigenbaum, A. D., Lloyd, R. S., MacDonald, J., & Myer, G. D. (2016). Citius, Altius, Fortius: beneficial effects of resistance training for young athletes: narrative review. *British journal of sports medicine*, *50*(1), 3-7.. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2014-093986
- Gamage, N. N., Altheyab, A., Guo, Y., Phillips, B. E., Opie, G. M., Semmler, J. G., ... & Piasecki, M. (2025). Bilateral neuromuscular adaptation to acute unilateral resistance exercise in healthy older adults. *GeroScience*, 1-17.
- Granacher, U., Lesinski, M., Büsch, D., Muehlbauer, T., Prieske, O., Puta, C., ... & Behm, D. G. (2016). Effects of resistance training in youth athletes on muscular fitness and athletic performance: a conceptual model for long-term athlete development. *Frontiers in physiology*, *7*, 164.https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2016.00164
- Herzog, W. (2019). Reflections on obesity, exercise, and musculoskeletal health. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, *9*(2), 108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2020.01.005
- Kompf, J. M., Whiteley, J. A., Wright, J., & Camhi, S. M. (2024). Mechanisms of behavior change for a digital resistance training intervention: a mediation study. *Translational Journal of the American College of Sports Medicine*, *9*(1), 1-6.
- Lesinski, M., Herz, M., Schmelcher, A., & Granacher, U. (2020). Effects of resistance training on physical fitness in healthy children and adolescents: an umbrella review. *Sports Medicine*, *50*(11), 1901-1928.. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-01327-8
- Lesinski, M., Prieske, O., & Granacher, U. (2016). Effects and dose–response relationships of resistance training on physical performance in youth athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *British journal of sports medicine*, *50*(13), 781-795.. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095497
- Payne, V. G., Morrow Jr, J. R., Johnson, L., & Dalton, S. N. (1997). Resistance training in children and youth: a meta-analysis. *Research quarterly for exercise and sport*, *68*(1), 80-88.. https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.1997.10608866
- Raichlen, D. A., & Lieberman, D. E. (2022). The evolution of human step counts and its association with the risk of chronic disease. *Current Biology*, *32*(21), R1206-R1214.. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-30470-0
- Rong, W., Geok, S. K., Samsudin, S., Zhao, Y., Ma, H., & Zhang, X. (2025). Effects of strength training on neuromuscular adaptations in the development of maximal strength: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*, *15*(1), 19315.
- Stefanica, V., Mercea, I. T., Ursu, V. E., Gorban, C. F., Dragos, C., Mihaiu, C., & Groza, G. G. (2024). Developing psychomotor skills and social attributes through integrated psychomotor and unified football

training: A special Olympics Intervention Study. *Revista Romaneasca pentru Educatie Multidimensionala*, *16*(3), 224-242.

- Sun, Z., & Liu, R. (2025). Therapeutic effects of dry needling for patellofemoral pain syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Complementary therapies in clinical practice*, 101938.
- Vesci, A. S., Webster, K. A., Sich, M., & Marinko, L. N. (2017). Resistance training in youth improves athletic performance: A systematic review. *Athletic Training & Sports Health Care*, *9*(4), 184-192.https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000001645